

Core Data Record sessions – Questions and Answers

On 13 and 17 January 2022 we held two drop-in question and answer sessions for market participants. This document sets out our responses to questions asked during the sessions.

Readers are referred to the [blog published on 26 January 2022](#) which includes a recording of the sessions.

Q What are the next steps after the consultation ends at the end of January?

A We will review the feedback looking for any key themes. We will not be able to respond to all questions and comments, but we will make any modifications needed and publicise the changes made. The Data Council created by the London Market Group will be the body responsible for approving the final CDR.

Q What are the respective roles and responsibilities of the Data Council, the joint venture, the (re)insurers and Lloyd's?

A We're working through the roles and responsibilities with the joint venture team, who will continue to be the main legal entity capturing, processing and storing the data. Lloyd's will continue to receive only the regulatory and oversight data required for it to conduct its role in the market. This work will all be taken through the Data Council in the coming months.

Q Did the second iteration of the CDR published for consultation in November 2021 replace the first iteration?

A Yes, the second iteration of the draft CDR replaced the first iteration published in February. The first iteration covered those data fields for open market North American property class of business. The second iteration of the draft CDR defined the data requirements for insurance and facultative reinsurance placed through the open market for all classes and territories. It also forms the basis for the standard we expect to apply to treaty and delegated business. In early 2022, we plan to validate the use of the CDR for treaty business and to align it to the Coverholder Reporting Standards, and we will look at the data needed for claims. This will ensure we have a single standard across all London market insurance for automated downstream processing. Please note that there are significant differences in the scope, so we did not include a mapping or audit trail between the versions.

Q What are the benefits for (re)insurers?

A Carriers will be able to pull back the data of the risk once it's bound, allowing reconciliation with their systems, improving data quality. They will also benefit from significantly improved end-to-end processing times with automated accounting taking minutes rather than days, with all queries managed up front in a streamlined process with brokers.

Q Will there be one CDR, or different ones for different scenarios?

A There will be one CDR, but some fields will be conditional mandatory and only be required under different scenarios.

Q Does the CDR include any standardisation of schedules of values?

A Some of the fields which will be needed for a small number, around 20% of risks, are the sort of information you would usually find in a schedule of values; however, the CDR has not been designed with the intent of standardising schedules of values.

Q How will you ensure data quality?

A Validation will happen when data is submitted to the Digital Gateway. This can happen at any point pre-bind (optionally), but must happen just after bind, to create a near real-time record of

the risk. Any validation issues will be played back to the submitting platform. Workflows / resolution paths will need to be built by the platforms to enable issue resolution as the validation service will not have its own user interface. Each field has very specific requirements for what should be entered, and these will be strictly enforced by the Gateway. The brokers who capture most of this data will need to consider how to do this to ensure alignment. Ultimately, the Digital Gateway will validate the completeness and content of the CDR.

Q What are the plans for delegated authorities and treaty business?

A We will be looking at other methods of placement and how/if the CDR might work for those scenarios later in the year.

Q How will the CDR work for endorsements?

A We are currently looking at the processes to manage endorsements and other changes.

Q What are your hopes for the intelligent Market Reform Contract (iMRC) and how does this relate to the CDR?

A We would like to see a new version of the MRC guidelines which includes the requirement to include key fields from the CDR in a manner which would enable the MRC to be machine readable. We see this as being the first step that many will take on the road to a data-first approach.

Q What are the plans for claims?

A We plan to work on the data standards for claims as our next step and expect a draft to be created this year.